

# European Intellectual Property Review

2020 Volume 42 Issue 3  
ISSN: 0142-0461

## Table of Contents

### Opinions

SIMON CLARK & SARA SEFTON

#### **Cofemel v G-Star Raw (C-683/17) and its Effect on UK Copyright Law Before and After Brexit** 141

The only requirement for a design to qualify for copyright protection in the EU is originality, according to the highly anticipated decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) in *Cofemel v G-Star Raw* (C-683/17) on 12 September 2019. The Court's judgment on the interpretation of the reproduction right under art.2(a) of Directive 2001/29 EC (the InfoSoc Directive) will be welcomed by designers across the EU and may well require amendments to be made to the national copyright laws of certain EU Member States, including in particular the UK.

### Articles

BETH COLLETT

#### **Have Unconventional Marks Had their Day?** 144

Prior to the amendments to art.4 EUTMR, recent decisions from the CJEU and the UK Courts appear to highlight that the courts are not yet ready to lower the burden on applicants for obtaining an unconventional mark. This article considers some of the recent judgments on shape and colour marks, and in so doing it looks at why these non-traditional marks are frequently failing to pass the necessary validity thresholds across the courts. It suggests that it is the marks' attempts to stray too far from the fundamental requirements of the legislation that costs them their validity.

JOSHUA MARSHALL

#### **Unjustified Threats as a Cause of Action** 150

This article seeks to categorise unjustified threats as a cause of action. Hitherto, unjustified threats have been treated as tortious and the threat-maker deemed a legal wrongdoer. This article argues that orthodox principles of tort law cut against the unjustified threats regime. It is suggested that it is more analytically attractive to treat the regime as a form of estoppel or as a *sui generis* cause of action.

TOMRUK USTUNKAYA

#### **The Functionality Doctrine: The Challenges to Fashion Copyright** 156

This article examines the relationship between copyright in fashion and the functionality doctrine from the perspective of originality. Case law and legislation are examined from the perspective of the UK and Europe. The article examines the limitations on fashion designers and assesses whether the parameters for originality for fashion should be widened as they relate to legal provisions. The creative aspect of fashion is addressed in the analysis of what is "artistic" in law, and the book further asks whether fashion is artistic. The article also considers fashion pieces as cultural property before drawing conclusions upon the analysis.

PEH HOON LIM & IAN EAGLES

#### **The Evolution of the De Minimis Defence to Copyright Infringement under Article 15(1) of the European Digital Single Market Directive** 167

Article 15(1) of the European Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market 2019 to modernise its copyright framework (by providing *inter alia* appropriate remuneration for publishers of press publications) contains an express exception for the taking of individual words or very short extracts. This article considers lessons and warnings from common law copyright jurisprudence involving *de minimis* takings in infringement cases that courts may find instructive once the Directive is in force. As Brexit looms, an imagined return to a copyright world before the United Kingdom was required to absorb European imposed changes is only one possible outcome.

PAUL LAMBERT

#### **Who Owns What's Inside Your Head? Thoughts, Mind Data, Ownership and Future Battles Ahead** 174

There are various examples where advances in technology are being purported to be able to access and record our thoughts and data of the mind. While there are a number of developing areas of research in this regard, and various potential applications, we now have to consider the fundamental issues which such access and use begin to raise. Issues arise from a number of perspectives, not least of which are nature of, law, ownership, commercialisation, data regulation, data protection, privacy, personal data, hierarchies of personal data, ethics, medical research, academic research guidelines, rules of driving and automobiles, rules for drones and flying devices, and more. From an intellectual property perspective, how they may protect individuals and how these might apply to the new commercial concepts of *mind data*, *neurodata* and technological efforts at *mind reading*, *thought reading*, and *reading of signals of the brain* also need to be considered. Ownership, control, uses and privacy will be central to future discussions.

**the EU Trade Mark: A Flexible Approach for EU-wide Protection** 175  
The European Union trade mark regime is the result of a delicate balance between asserting a unitary ideal and the need to take into account local specificities existing at Member State level. In its most recent case law, the Court of Justice of the European Union has held that while the European Union forms a territorial unit as a matter of principle, in certain circumstances, the function of a trade mark requires consideration be given to existing local specificities whose borders may not always coincide with those of the Member States.

**Comments**  
CARYS CRAIG

**Meanwhile, in Canada ... A Surprisingly Sensible Copyright Review** 184  
A Standing Committee of Canada's House of Commons recently conducted a statutorily mandated review of the Canadian Copyright Act, culminating in a final report that was released in June 2019. This Comment reviews the context, substance and significance of the report.

FREDERICK NICOLLE

**CJEU Hardens Approach to Article 3(d) SPC Regulation in Abraxis (C-443/17)** 192  
A recent decision by the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) in *Abraxis* (C-443/17) has confirmed that a Supplementary Protection Certificate is not available where the product is a new formulation of an old active ingredient. This article examines the background and consequences of the decision, as well as highlighting several questions that still remain unanswered.

VICTOR HENUM & ANDERS VALENTIN

**Decision from the Eastern High Court Changes Danish Practice Regarding Assessment of Recoverable Legal Costs in Patent and IP Cases** 196  
With its decision of 29 August 2019, the Eastern High Court of Denmark brings the Danish practice on assessing legal costs in patent and IP cases into conformity with EU law in the area. The decision is expected to substantially change the court's former conservative practice of awarding costs in patent and IP cases.

**Book Reviews**

GIULIA PRIORA 201

AISLINN O'CONNELL 202