

European Intellectual Property Review

2020 Volume 42 Issue 5

ISSN: 0142-0461

Table of Contents

Opinions

OLIVER CONTE

Is the Current Test for Music Copyright Infringement Fit for Purpose? 269

As more music enters an already crowded global market, the courts are struggling to protect musicians from trivial copyright claims. This opinion considers whether the legal precedent for determining whether there has been copying of a “substantial part” is still fit for purpose in cases of alleged primary infringement.

Articles

ALINA TRAPOVA

Reviving Collective Management: Will CMOs Become the True Mediators They Ought to Be in the Digital Single Market? 272

Collective management organisation (CMOs) provide a practical service which significantly reduces rights administration costs. Nonetheless, they have often been attacked for lack of transparency. This article studies some of the pros and cons of CMOs and takes on a case study from Bulgaria to illustrate when the management of rights risks becoming mismanagement. Looking forward, it argues that these organisations may be key in solving the convoluted licensing obligations in art. 17 of Directive 2019/970. In order to do so, however, now more than ever it is imperative that they become better mediators.

DR GEMMA O'FARRELL

Copyright in Letters 281

This article considers the recent proceeding issued by the Duchess of Sussex, Meghan Markle, who claims damages for breach of privacy, infringement of copyright and breach of the General Data Protection Regulation for publication of a letter. The article considers whether copyright arises in letters and the defences which may be raised in respect of the claim in copyright and breach of privacy: “Recent inventions and business methods call attention to the next step which must be taken for the protection of the person, and for securing to the individual what Judge Cooley calls the right ‘to be let alone’. Instantaneous photographs and newspaper enterprises have invaded the sacred precincts of private and domestic life; and numerous mechanical devices threaten to make good the prediction that ‘what is whispered in the closet shall be proclaimed from the house-tops’.”

TOMRUK USTUNKAYA

The Functionality Doctrine: Is 3D Printing Challenging Rigidity in Fashion Law? 286

This article examines the disparity between the doctrine of functionality and 3D printing in fashion, and asks pertinent questions such as whether 3D printing technology is disrupting tradition in fashion, and the implications of copyright law in consideration of originality. The article aims to address the issues which arise, and the analysis extends to unearthing how these implications exacerbate the issues raised for intellectual property rights protection for 3D printing in fashion specifically. Further, the article analyses the correlation between fashion, art, creativity and functionality. Conclusions are stated to ascertain the current position and implications for the future. With an absence of substantial literature pertaining to the interlink between fashion, the functionality doctrine and 3D printing, this article will draw inferences based on existing literature and legal provisions as applied to fashion.

DR ANA ALBA BETANCOURT, DR
ENRICO BONADIO AND DR LUKE
MCDONAGH

Social Innovation and University Intellectual Property: Insights from the UK and Mexico 293

University intellectual property (IP) policies, and the accompanying strategies for incubation of IP via licensing and spin-outs, have not received much analysis from academic lawyers. Moreover, despite several successful examples of universities in the UK generating income from IP, not much is known about how transferable the UK model is when considered in the light of a middle-income developing economy such as Mexico. In this article we analyse critically some of the key tenets of IP policies at universities in the UK to identify what the key legal principles underpinning university innovation and commercialisation are. We consider the potential application of these principles in Mexico, where so far only a limited number of universities have developed IP policies and strategies in line with the incubator model. We explain how universities in Mexico could implement these research findings in their own IP policies. We comment that the mere provision of an IP policy is not a panacea—on its own it is insufficient for ensuring technology transfer and it may even encourage unnecessary patenting. Further investment in infrastructure and in establishing a culture of incubation and entrepreneurship is essential.

DAI ZHE

Reform of the Attribution Rule of Employee Inventions in China: Response to the Imbalance of Interests between Salaried Inventors and Employers 305

In China, the basic rules governing the attribution of employee inventions are defined by the patent law, promulgated in 1984, during the period when the country was still implementing a planned economy policy. Under the influence of collectivism, the interests of employers are protected much more than the interests of salaried inventors. After more than 30 years, this system can no longer adapt to the profound changes that have occurred in China. A reform of the attribution rule of employee inventions is thus indispensable.

MASOOMEH OZGOLI AND LASANTHA ARIYARATHNA

Protection of Unpublished Works after Death: An Analysis of Developments in Australia and the United States 312

The publication of someone's artistic works after their death could be subject to various laws such as copyright, privacy and even property laws. This article aims to discuss the possibility of publishing and displaying people's possessions after their death, particularly if those works have literary, dramatic, musical or artistic features and the person who owned or created them has not first published them. There are occasions when an author or artist becomes famous after their work is published post mortem by a third person who may or may not be the legal executor. Considering recent amendments to Australia's Copyright Law, it is essential to examine whether these address all issues concerning this subject. The United States has a well-developed law concerning unpublished works as well as a number of relevant cases and arguments, so this article will refer to approaches which have been taken by that country.

Comments

JOHN A. TESSENSOHN

Patent Infringement Royalty Rate Boosted by Grand Panel IP High Court of Japan 320

On 7 June 2019, the Grand Panel of the Intellectual Property High Court of Japan (IPHCJ) issued a landmark pro-patentee decision that provided greater clarity and flexibility on how Japanese patent infringement courts will calculate patent infringement damages on the basis of "infringer's profits" and "reasonable royalty" under Japan's Patent Law: *NeoChemir Inc v Medion Research Laboratories Inc*, Case No. Heisei 30 (Ne) 10063 (7 June 2019). This is a welcome pro-patentee decision because the IPHCJ set a flexible and pro-patentee approach in determining patent infringement damages which may result in higher damage awards, assuming the criteria is satisfied. The prospect of Japanese courts being able to award higher patent infringement damage awards in the future using the calculation rules outlined in this milestone decision will contribute to the greater protection of patent rights in Japan.

JOEL SMITH AND JOANNA SILVER

CJEU Decision in *SkyKick* Offers Relief to Trade Mark Owners 323

In *Sky v Skykick*, the CJEU held that a registered trade mark cannot be declared wholly or partly invalid as a result of a lack of clarity and precision of the terms used to designate the goods and services covered by that registration. Further, while invalidity on the ground of bad faith may be triggered where an applicant registers a mark without any intention to use it in relation to specified goods and services, bad faith will only be established in exceptional circumstances. This outcome is very helpful to existing trade mark proprietors, and favours the existing status quo of the trade mark system.

Book Reviews

CHARLES OPPENHEIM

Intellectual Property, Climate Change and Technology 325

JOHN HULL

Dean's Law of Trade Secrets and Privacy 326